

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,229 07/11/2003		Young-Chan Kim	1293.1854	2343	
21171	7590	06/26/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS &		EY LLP	RAHMJOO, MANUCHER		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	GTON, D	OC 20005	2628		
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/617,229	KIM, YOUNG-CHAN			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Mike Rahmjoo	2628			

	Mike Kaninjoo	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or  TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Attension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	_	•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
		Kee M.	Tune
		Primary Ex	
			/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's new amendments wherein applicant recites selecting an R or G or B component of an RGB signal including video signal as a selected RGB "component" and setting a region of the selected RGB "component" to be checked raise new issues which require further search.